



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,933	01/14/2004	Ralf Dreibholz	ZAHFRI P575US	5155
20210	7590	01/31/2006	EXAMINER	
DAVIS & BUJOLD, P.L.L.C. FOURTH FLOOR 500 N. COMMERCIAL STREET MANCHESTER, NH 03101-1151			PANG, ROGER L	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
				3681

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/756,933	DREIBHOLZ ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Roger L. Pang	3681	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

## **Disposition of Claims**

4)  Claim(s) 14-17 and 19-25 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6)  Claim(s) 14-17 and 19-25 is/are rejected.

7)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)  The drawing(s) filed on 15 December 2005 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a)  All    b)  Some \* c)  None of:  
1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-14-04 & 12-15-05.

4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_ .  
5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  
6)  Other: \_\_\_\_ .

**DETAILED ACTION**

The following action is in response to the amendment filed for application 10/756,933 on December 15, 2005.

***Drawings***

The drawings were received on December 15, 2005. These drawings are approved.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. These claims are claimed as method claims, however, independent claim 21 is an apparatus claim. Applicant must amend the dependent claims accordingly.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 14-17, and 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Matsumura '641. With regard to claims 14, and 21-23, Matsumura teaches a method

for control of a shifting component of a stepped automatic transmission, the shifting component is designed with at least one frictionally engaged element 203/204, at least one form-locking element 19, and a common actuator 102 for controlling actuation of both the frictionally engaged element and the form-locking element, the method comprising the steps of: adjusting a transmitting capacity of the at least one frictionally engaged element upon engagement of said shifting component (step 505); engaging the form-locking element once a synchronous state for the shifting component exists (Step 508); reducing the transmitting capacity of the frictionally engaged element once said form-locking element is engaged (step 5090; and upon a demand to disengage the at least one shifting component, increasing the transmitting capacity (B; Fig. 15) of the frictionally engaged element prior to disengagement of the form-locking element (t2; Fig. 15) under load so a power flow, which is conveyed via the engaged form-locking element of the shifting component is conveyed via the frictionally engaged element when the form-locking element is disengaged. With regard to claim 15, Matsumura teaches the method, further comprising the step of adjusting the transmitting capacity of said frictionally engaged element upon engagement of said shifting component (t3; Fig. 15) via a slip phase of said frictionally engaged element (B; Fig. 15). With regard to claim 16, Matsumura teaches the method, further comprising the step of adjusting the transmitting capacity of said frictionally engaged element to a defined threshold value when said form-locking element is engaged (B; Fig. 15). With regard to claim 17, Matsumura teaches the method, further comprising the step of reducing the transmitting capacity of said frictionally engaged element upon disengagement of said shifting component, after disengagement of said form-locking element during a slip phase (t3;

Fig. 15). With regard to claim 19, Matsumura teaches the method, further comprising the step of designing the frictionally engaged element of said shifting component as one of a multi-disc clutch and a multi-disc brake (Col.9). With regard to claim 20, Matsumura teaches the method, further comprising the step of designing said form-locking element as a dog clutch 19. With regard to claim 24, Matsumura teaches the device, wherein at any time, a control of said frictionally engaged element leads to engagement alternating with disengagement or engagement of said form-locking element (Col. 15). With regard to claim 25, Matsumura teaches the device, wherein said frictionally engaged element and said form-locking element are directly loaded via a flip-flop shift, with the operating energy required for control (Fig. 15).

***Conclusion***

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lansing, Richards, Kayano, Dresden III, Klaue, and Bowen have been cited to show similar devices and control methods.

**FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

Submission of your response by facsimile transmission is encouraged. The central facsimile number is (571) 273-8300. Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and examination of patent applications will effectively increase a patent's term, it is to your benefit to submit responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such submission will place the response directly in our examining group's hands and will eliminate Post Office processing and delivery time as well as the PTO's

Art Unit: 3681

mail room processing and delivery time. For a complete list of correspondence not permitted by facsimile transmission, see MPEP 502.01. In general, most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses requiring a fee which applicant is paying by check should not be submitting by facsimile transmission separately from the check.

Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (MPEP 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. (571) 273-8300) on \_\_\_\_\_ (Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

---

---

(Signature)

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and MPEP 502.02). Please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the

Art Unit: 3681

response after your response has been transmitted by facsimile will only cause further unnecessary delays in the processing of your application; duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roger L. Pang whose telephone number is 571-272-7096. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor can be reached on 571-272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Roger L Pang  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3681

January 26, 2006